

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	NVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO			
10/660,160	09/11/2003	Daniel Joseph Heithoff	ROC920030088US1 7388			
30206 IBM CORPOR	7590 06/12/2009 RATION		EXAM	UNER		
ROCHESTER	IP LAW DEPT. 917		STIBLEY, MICHAEL R ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
	AY 52 NORTH , MN 55901-7829					
	,		3688			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/12/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/660,160	HEITHOFF ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
MICHAEL R. STIBLEY	3688			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE	REPL	Y FILED) <u>08 June</u>	<u>2009</u> FA	ILS TO P	LACE TH	IIS APPL	ICATION	IN CONDI	TION FOR A	ALLOWA	NCE.	
1. 🗵	The I	eply was	filed afte	r a final r	ejection,	but prior	to or on t	he same o	day as filing	g a Notice of	Appeal.	To avoid	aband

application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENIDMENTS

AMENDMENTO
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for

appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 22-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: .

/Fric W. Stamber/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3622

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claims present newly claimed subject matter such as client electronic device, inventory of component installed at the client electronic device, specifies a determination whether the trend at the client electronic device is increasing during the estimated time period, and wherein the information regarding why the recommended offering was made comprises a subset of the data that explains a reason for a need for the recommended offering and wherein the client electronic device displays the associated recommended offering via an output device which require additional search and consideration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments are directed to unentered claim amendments discussed in section 3 above.